

MULTIPLE INTERACTING LOCI CONTROL SEX DETERMINATION IN LAKE MALAWI CICHLID FISH

Jennifer R. Ser,¹ Reade B. Roberts,¹ and Thomas D. Kocher^{1,2}

¹*Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742*

²*E-mail: tdk@umd.edu*

Received May 5, 2009

Accepted September 9, 2009

Several models have been proposed to suggest how the evolution of sex-determining mechanisms might contribute to speciation. Here, we describe the inheritance of sex in 19 fish species from the rapidly evolving flock of cichlids in Lake Malawi, Africa. We found that many of these species have a male heterogametic (XY) system on linkage group 7. Some species also segregate for a female heterogametic (ZW) system on linkage group 5 that is coincident with a dominant orange-blotch (OB) color pattern in females. The ZW system is epistatically dominant to the XY system when both are segregating within a family. Several lines of evidence suggest that additional sex-determining loci are segregating in some species. These results are consistent with the idea that genetic conflicts play an important role in the evolution of these species flocks and suggest that evolution of sex-determining mechanisms has contributed to the radiation of cichlid fish in East Africa.

KEY WORDS: Sex determination, sexually antagonistic selection, speciation.

Because of their spectacular diversity, the cichlid fish of East Africa have figured prominently in debates about the mechanisms of speciation. Independent radiations have produced morphologically and behaviorally diverse flocks of several hundred species in each of the major rift lakes (Turner et al. 2001). The extraordinary radiation of haplochromine cichlids in lakes Malawi and Victoria has occurred in the last million years (Meyer et al. 1990; Kocher et al. 1995; Verheyen et al. 2003; Genner et al. 2007). These species flocks provide a rare opportunity to study speciation occurring in nearly historical time (Kocher 2004).

For much of the last century, studies of the mechanisms of speciation focused on the gradual accumulation of Dobzhansky–Muller incompatibilities in allopatry. Classical allopatric speciation has clearly been important in the radiation of many groups (Mayr 1963). A high degree of population structure, together with a long history of lake-level fluctuations, has likely created opportunities for microallopatric speciation in African cichlids. Surveys of microsatellite variation have shown that Malawi cichlid populations are structured at extremely fine (<1 km) geographic

scales and typically exchange only one migrant per generation (van Oppen et al. 1997; Arnegard et al. 1999; Danley et al. 2000; Rico and Turner 2002). However, accumulating evidence suggests that natural selection also plays an important role in speciation (Schluter 2000). There is substantial evidence that strong ecological selection has created specialized feeding morphologies in African cichlids (Albertson et al. 2005), and may have contributed to the emergence of new species (Danley and Kocher 2001).

SEXUAL SELECTION

Sexual selection is another powerful force that has been postulated to contribute to speciation (Lande 1981; Ritchie 2007). Sexual selection is particularly strong in haplochromine cichlids because of an unequal investment in parental care. Females lay large eggs and after mating do not feed for three weeks while they mouthbrood their embryos and larvae without help from the male. This skewed parental investment leads to strong intersexual selection as females carefully select their mates. It also leads to strong intrasexual selection on males, who compete

fiercely for mating territories. The intense competition for mates may be the reason why males have evolved diverse gaudy color patterns, while females are typically inconspicuous. A recent phylogenetic analysis suggested that there have been more than 250 evolutionary transitions among a handful of core color patterns in Lake Malawi cichlids (Allender et al. 2003). Fish with similar color patterns collected from different regions of Lake Malawi are not closely related, indicating multiple regional radiations of the same color forms (Smith and Kornfield 2002). Sensory drive has been implicated in the evolution of male nuptial colors during speciation of the closely related flock of cichlids in Lake Victoria (Seehausen et al. 2008).

Stimulated by empirical results on the segregation of sex and color patterns in Lake Victoria cichlids, Lande et al. (2001) proposed two models of speciation based on sexual selection and evolution of sex-determining mechanisms. The two models postulate the invasion of an XY sex-determination system by a novel dominant female allele (W). The models also included an unlinked recessive suppressor of the W, an unlinked locus controlling mating preference, and a novel color mutation linked to the W chromosome. Their analytical results suggested possible mechanisms for repeated sympatric speciation through the rapid evolution of color, mate preferences, and sex-determining genes.

SEXUAL ANTAGONISM

Sexually antagonistic coevolution can arise over numerous traits related to courtship, mating, and parental investment, when the phenotypic optima are different for males and females (Chapman 2006). Experiments have shown that intersexual genetic conflicts are numerous (Rice 1992, 1998). Sexual antagonism is clearly a major force shaping the evolution of the genome (Jin et al. 2001). So far, relatively little work has explored the impact of sexual antagonism in the evolution of cichlid fish.

Theoretical work has explored the conditions under which sexual conflict can promote the evolution of new sex chromosomes (Bull 1983). These models begin with an autosomal locus segregating alleles that have different relative fitness in males and females. Selection will favor an increase in frequency of any new sex-determining loci that are tightly linked to these autosomal alleles. Under some conditions, both old and new sex-determining loci remain polymorphic in the population (van Doorn and Kirkpatrick 2007).

GOALS OF THIS STUDY

The first goal of this study was to determine whether Lake Malawi cichlids have a predominantly genetic mechanism for sex determination. There is evidence for both environmental (Romer and Beisenherz 1996; Baroiller et al. 2009a) and genetic (Devlin and Nagahama 2002; Cnaani et al. 2008) sex determination in tilapia cichlids. In Malawi cichlids, published data have not provided ev-

idence for morphologically distinct sex chromosomes (Kornfield, 1984), but there is some evidence for genetic sex determination in a few species. Albertson (2002) identified a dominant male sex-determining locus on LG7 in an intergeneric hybrid cross. Streelman and colleagues (2003) mapped a dominant female sex-determining locus linked to the orange-blotch (OB) color polymorphism on LG5.

The second goal was to determine whether the sex-determining mechanism differs among closely related species. We surveyed a number of species, focusing on the diverse genus *Metriaclima*. We wanted to know if both male (XY) and female (ZW) heterogametic sex-determination systems exist, and whether closely related species differ in their mechanism of sex determination, consistent with a possible role in speciation.

Materials and Methods

SPECIES AND STOCKS

Single-pair crosses were made for 19 species of Lake Malawi cichlid in our tropical aquaculture facility (Table 1). Each aquarium contained a single male and between one and 15 females. Because Lake Malawi cichlids are maternal mouthbrooders, it was possible to collect the progeny of individual females 1–5 days post fertilization. Caudal fin samples were clipped from the parents at the time of embryo collection for subsequent extraction of DNA.

REARING AND SEXING

The embryos from each female were reared together in a small container until they had absorbed their yolk. They were then transferred to increasingly larger tanks and grown to sexual maturity. To maximize the number of fish raised in our facility, families of two to six species with distinct color patterns were sometimes grown together in the same tank. We added dither fish (e.g., *Oreochromis mossambicus*) to aquaria containing the more pugnacious species to diffuse aggressive interactions.

At maturity, each family was anesthetized using tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222) and sacrificed to obtain phenotypic data. The standard length, color, and sex of each individual were noted. Gonads were examined using an acetocarmine squash procedure to identify spermatocytes or oocytes (Guerrero and Shelton 1974). Finally, a caudal fin clip was taken from each individual and stored in 100% EtOH at -20°C until processing for DNA extraction.

MICROSATELLITES

Previous work in our lab detected linkage of sex to markers on linkage groups (LG) 5 and 7 in an intergeneric cross among Lake Malawi cichlids (Albertson et al. 2003). We therefore focused our genotyping effort on microsatellite markers from these two linkage groups (Table 2). The markers on LG5 (UNH2139,

Table 1. Sex ratios for each family of Lake Malawi cichlid. The sex ratios (total number of males/total number of males + total number of females) were calculated for each family along with the average sex ratio for each species. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Species	Family	Males	Females	Unknown	Total	Sex ratio	Binomial	Average sex ratio in species
<i>Aulonocara baenschi</i>	Aube-A002/A003/A005/A007	5	7	2	14	0.417	0.774	0.507
Nkhomo Reef	Aube-A009	2	4	2	8	0.333	0.688	
	Aube-A013	12	3	2	17	0.800	0.035	
	Aube-A014	6	15	2	23	0.286	0.078	
	Aube-A022	6	4		10	0.600	0.754	
	Aube-A023	7	4		11	0.636	0.549	
<i>Cynotilapia</i> “dwarf afra”	Dcafra-A002	11	15	2	28	0.423	0.557	0.688
Hai Reef	Dcafra-A019	22	0		22	1.000	***	
<i>Labeotropheus trewavasae</i>	RLtrmr009	10	7		17	0.588	0.629	0.515
Mpanga	RLtrMR011	7	5		12	0.583	0.774	
	RLtrMR012	6	9		15	0.400	0.607	
	RLtrMR015	11	11		22	0.500	1	
<i>Metriaclima barlowi</i>	MebaMBJ-A003	6	2	1	9	0.750	0.289	0.539
Mbenji Island	MebaMBJ-A006	3	7		10	0.300	0.344	
	MebaMBJ-A009	5	3		8	0.625	0.727	
	MebaMBJ-A010	0	11		11	0.000	***	
	MebaMBJ-A016	18	5		23	0.783	*	
	MebaMBJ-A019	12	11		23	0.522	1	
	MebaMBJ-A026	11	8		19	0.579	0.648	
<i>Metriaclima benetos</i>	MebeMZ-A002	11	10		21	0.524	1	0.410
Mazinzi Reef	MebeMZ-A006	6	13	10	29	0.316	0.167	
	MebeMZ-A007	11	26	3	40	0.297	*	
	MebeMZ-A010	20	20	1	41	0.500	1	
<i>Metriaclima callainos</i>	Meca-A001	2	13		15	0.133	**	0.137
Choifu Bay	Meca-A004	2	17		19	0.105	***	
	Meca-A005	1	18		19	0.053	***	
	RMca012	5	13		18	0.278	0.096	
	RMca016	3	21		24	0.125	***	
	<i>Metriaclima</i> “daktari”	Psda-A005	6	5	3	14	0.545	1
Hai Reef								
<i>Metriaclima fainzilberi</i>	MefaLI-A002	5	12		17	0.294	0.143	0.140
Lundo Island	RMFI010	1	25	2	28	0.038	***	
<i>Metriaclima fainzilberi</i>	MefaLP-A001	1	21		22	0.045	***	0.070
Lundo Point	MefaLP-A003	2	19	1	22	0.095	***	
<i>Metriaclima</i> “kompakt”	MebIN-A001	10	13	1	24	0.435	0.678	0.488
Southern Mbamba Bay	MebIN-A003	34	18		52	0.654	*	
	RmbI007	7	24		31	0.226	**	
	RmbI004	8	8		16	0.500	1	
<i>Metriaclima lombardoi</i>	Melo-A001	2	15	2	19	0.118	**	0.412
Mbenji Island	Melo-A003	0	5		5	0.000	0.063	
	Melo-A015A	5	8		13	0.385	0.581	
	Melo-A015B	3	5		8	0.375	0.727	
	Melo-A016	7	3	1	11	0.700	0.344	
	Melo-A017	2	6		8	0.250	0.289	
	Melo-A019	10	13		23	0.435	0.678	
	Melo-A026	18	12		30	0.600	0.362	
<i>Metriaclima mbenjii</i>	Memb-A003	2	3		5	0.400	1	0.277
Mbenji Island	Memb-A004	5	27	3	35	0.156	***	
	RMmb002	5	12		17	0.294	0.143	
	RMmb004	14	26		40	0.350	0.081	
<i>Metriaclima msobo</i>	Mems-A001/A002	15	14	2	31	0.517	1	0.517

Continued.

Table 1. Continued.

Species	Family	Males	Females	Unknown	Total	Sex ratio	Binomial	Average sex ratio in species
Lundo Island								
<i>Metriaclima</i> “mustardi”	RMmus002	7	10		17	0.412	0.629	0.412
<i>Metriaclima phaeos</i>	MephNA-A001	14	18	3	35	0.438	0.597	0.504
Undu Point								
	MephNA-A006	16	15	3	34	0.516	1	
	MephNA-A007	10	11	2	23	0.476	1	
	Meph-A008	4	7	3	14	0.364	0.549	
	Meph-A009	6	8		14	0.429	0.791	
	Meph-A014	14	4		18	0.778	*	
<i>Metriaclima pyrsonotus</i>	Mepy-A002	14	5		19	0.737	0.064	0.187
Nakantenga Island								
	Mepy-A006	2	12		14	0.143	*	
	Mepy-A009	2	26	1	29	0.071	***	
	Mepy-A010	1	21		22	0.045	***	
	Mepy-A013	0	29		29	0.000	***	
	Mepy-A014	4	24		28	0.143	***	
	Mepy-A017	8	17		25	0.320	0.108	
	Mepy-A022	3	28	1	32	0.097	***	
	Mepy-A025	5	19		24	0.208	**	
	Mepy-A027	3	20		23	0.130	***	
	Mepy-A030	9	11		20	0.450	0.824	
	RMpy007	5	10	3	18	0.333	0.302	
	RMpy011	0	11		11	0.000	***	
	RMpy012	0	19		19	0.000	***	
	RMpy013	0	15		15	0.000	***	
	RMpy018	9	20		29	0.310	0.061	
	RMpy021	9	35		44	0.205	***	
<i>Metriaclima saulosi</i>	Mesa-A001	6	1		7	0.857	0.125	0.706
Taiwan Reef								
	MesaNA-A005	0	12		12	0.000	***	
	MesaNA-A009	38	3	2	43	0.927	***	
	MesaNA-A12	4	4		8	0.500	1	
<i>Metriaclima zebra</i>	MezeMZR-A003	12	15	1	28	0.444	0.701	0.305
Mazinzi Reef								
	MezeMZR-A004	4	2		6	0.667	0.688	
	MezeMZR-A005	2	3	2	7	0.400	1	
	MezeMZR-A006	1	24		25	0.040	***	
	MezeMZR-A007	4	8		12	0.333	0.388	
	MezeMZR-A009	7	18		25	0.280	*	
	MezeMZR-A010	6	16		22	0.273	0.052	
	MezeMZR-A011	14	28		42	0.333	*	
<i>Metriaclima zebra</i> “Nankoma blue”	Mena-A006	21	12	6	39	0.636	0.163	0.440
Maleri Islands Ribbink Nankoma G								
	Mena-A007/021	21	34	1	56	0.382	0.105	
	Mena-A008/017	31	51		82	0.378	*	
	Mena-A018	23	25		48	0.479	0.885	
<i>Pseudotropheus polit</i>	Pspo-A003	7	9	2	18	0.438	0.804	0.511
Lions Cove								
	Pspo-A004	5	5		10	0.500	1	
	PspoLC-A005-B003	12	9		21	0.571	0.664	
	Totals	Males	Females	Unknown	Individuals	Average Sex Ratio		
		746	1250	70	2066	0.375		
	Mean	8	13	2				

Table 2. Fisher's exact test for association of gender with markers on LG5 and LG7. The segregation of dam and sire alleles were tested separately for markers on LG5 and LG7. Significant associations are indicated in bold letters. When a significant association was found for the ZW locus on LG5, we also tested the individuals not carrying the W chromosome (Blue or BB) for association of the LG7 XY locus. Some parents were not informative (NI) either because they were homozygous for a particular marker, or because they were heterozygous for the same alleles as the other parent. ND, not determined; NI, not informative.

Species	Family	LG5						LG7						Interpretation			
		Dam			Sire			Dam			Sire						
		UNH2139	cski	Gm264a	UNH2139	cski	Gm264a	UNH2095	UNH2086	UNH2031	UNH2095	UNH2086	UNH2031				
<i>Aulonocara baenschi</i>	Aube-A2/A3/A5/A7/A14/A23	0.660	NI	0.229	NI	0.180	NI	0.180	3.260	3.740	5.530	35.200	35.000	33.400			
<i>Labotropheus trewavasae</i>	P	0.416	Het	0.632	Homo	Het	0.671	0.071	0.053	0.019	0.019	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	LG 7 XY		
		15.000	11.200	15.000	0.185	0.714	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI		
		<.0001	0.001	<.0001	0.667	0.398	Homo	0.398	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	LG 5 ZW
<i>Metriaclima barlowi</i>	Mbenji Is	NI	16.4	ND	0.188	1.82	ND	0.178	0.665	0.178	0.665	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	LG 5 ZW	
		Homo	<.0001	0.036	NI	1.600	0.036	0.900	0.533	0.533	0.533	0.533	NI	3.730	8.000	8.000	
		0.850	0.850	Homo	0.206	0.850	0.343	0.465	0.465	0.465	0.465	0.465	Homo	0.053	0.005	0.005	LG 7 XY
<i>Metriaclima benetos</i>	Mebe-A006	NI	2.650	NI	0.123	1.170	NI	0.043	0.443	0.8400	Homo	0.692	0.476	0.964	ND		
		Homo	0.104	Homo	0.726	0.280	Homo	0.135	1.150	0.379	3.630	12.700	9.760	9.760	9.760		
		0.006	0.034	ND	0.354	0.381	ND	0.714	0.283	0.538	0.538	0.538	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	LG 7 XY	
<i>Metriaclima callainos</i>	Rmca012	0.800	0.202	3.330	3.200	NI	0.220	NI	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	
		0.371	0.653	0.068	0.074	Homo	0.639	Homo	0.371	Homo	Homo	Homo	Homo	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	LG 7 XY
		0.277	0.277	0.652	0.277	0.024	0.024	0.903	0.277	1.530	1.530	0.903	12.100	15.000	15.000		
<i>Metriaclima callainos</i>	Rmca016	0.599	0.599	0.419	0.599	0.876	0.876	0.876	0.876	0.876	0.876	0.342	0.342	0.001	<.0001	LG 7 XY	
		0	0.184	0.419	1.300	0.116	0.749	0.344	0.344	0.344	0.344	0.344	0.344	7.280	20.700	20.700	
		1	0.668	0.517	0.253	0.734	0.387	Wh&BI	0.556	0.397	0.714	0.556	0.007	0.007	<.0001	<.0001	LG 7 XY
<i>Metriaclima callainos</i>	Rmca016	3.46	4.41	NI	0.055	1.270	0.554	1.270	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	
		0.063	0.036	Homo	0.814	0.457	0.261	Blue	0	0.052	0.052	0.476	0.052	0.476	0.052	0.782	0.782
		4.130	8.330	NI	0.556	0.599	0.206	Wh&BI	2.200	0.423	0.046	0.200	0.093	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
<i>Metriaclima "daktari"</i>	MeFaLI-A002	0.042	0.004	Homo	0.456	0.439	0.650	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.439	
		3.600	7.540	NI	4.290	5.240	NI	NI	0.110	0.400	0.400	NI	7.640	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
		0.058	0.006	Het	0.038	0.022	Het	OB&BB	Homo	0.740	0.527	Homo	0.006	0.006	0.002	0.002	0.002
<i>Metriaclima fainzilberi</i>	Lundo Is	8.730	8.730	13.000	0.004	0.476	0.476	0.476	2.440	1.070	0.027	0.069	0.004	0.726	0.726	0.726	
		0.003	0.003	<.0001	0.949	0.490	0.490	0.490	0.490	0.119	0.301	0.870	0.793	0.949	0.394	0.394	
		9.670	9.670	12.400	1.310	0.619	0.619	0.619	0.619	0.600	0.600	0.240	0.600	0.600	0.240	0.240	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A001	0.008	0.002	<.0001	0.253	0.431	0.431	0.431	0.122	0.518	0.062	Homo	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	
		7.080	9.670	12.400	1.310	0.619	0.619	0.619	0.619	0.119	0.301	0.870	0.793	0.949	0.394	0.394	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	0.428	
		9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
<i>Metriaclima "kompakt"</i>	Mebl-A003	9.110	19.000	20.800	0.041	1.100	1.030	1.100	2.680	5.070	4.110	NI	0.157	0.628	0.628	0.628	
		0.003	<.0001	<.0001	0.839	0.294	0.311	0.294	0.311	0.101	0.024	0.043	Homo	0.692	0.428	0.428	
		9.110															

c-Ski, GM264a) span a region of ~12.2cM, and those on LG7 (UNH973, UNH2095, UNH2086, UNH2031) span a region of ~18.5cM (Albertson et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2005).

PCR amplification of each marker was performed on DNA extracted from caudal fin clips. The size of the amplified product was determined on an Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) 377 DNA sequencer using GeneScan 3.1.2 software. We routinely multiplexed the separation of several markers on a single gel. Statistical analyses were performed using Fisher’s exact test of independence.

Results

SEX RATIOS OF BROODS

A total of 2066 individuals in 93 broods representing 19 species in five genera were produced for analysis (Table 1). The average brood size at the time of sacrifice was 22.2 animals. Offspring were sacrificed at 4–26 months of age to determine gonadal sex. The sex of 70 offspring could not be unambiguously determined. Among 613 offspring phenotyped at 4–6 months of age, 44 (7.18%) could not be definitively sexed. Among 1431 offspring phenotyped at seven or more months of age, only 26 (1.82%) could not be definitively sexed. Therefore, the optimal age for determining phenotypic sex appears to be older than six months.

Sex ratios (as fraction of males) were calculated for each family (Table 1). The average sex ratio across all broods was

0.38 male. Binomial tests identify many significant deviations from the expectation of a 1:1 male:female sex ratio. One family contained only male offspring, and seven families contained only female offspring. In many cases, highly skewed sex ratios prevented genetic analysis for sex linkage. Families tested for genetic associations are listed in the Supporting information.

AN XY SYSTEM ON LG7

We found strong evidence for a male heterogametic (XY) sex-determination system in many of the families. Of the 44 families genotyped, 14 showed evidence for a pure XY system on LG7 (Table 2 and Fig. 4). To take an example, we examine the data for a *Metriaclima phaeos* family (Fig. 1). The 13 females all inherited the same LG7 haplotype from their father, while the 16 males all inherited the other paternal LG7 haplotype. The maternal haplotypes on LG7 were distributed equally to males and females. Both maternal and paternal haplotypes on LG5 were distributed equally to males and females. A second *M. phaeos* family also showed evidence of a male heterogametic sex-determining locus on LG7 (Table S26).

We observed XY systems on LG7 in seven other species of *Metriaclima* (Table 2). In these species, segregation of alleles at this locus explains the sex of > 90% of the individuals. For example, in *M. benetos*, 94% of the male progeny inherited a Y chromosome from their father (Tables S8 and S9). In two of four *M. lombardoi* families, a significant majority of males inherited the Y

Parental haplotypes:		LG7						
		Dam			Sire			
		UNH2095	UNH2086	UNH2031	UNH2095	UNH2086	UNH2031	
Haplotype 1:		0	220	193	158	193	133	
Haplotype 2:		98	0	174	121	147	193	
Offspring:		Haplotype from dam			Haplotype from sire			Inferred genotype
Sex								
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		98	0	174	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
F		0	220	193	121	147	193	XX
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	174	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	174	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	174	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		0	220	193	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	174	158	193	133	XY
M		0	0	193	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	193	158	193	133	XY
M		98	0	193	158	193	133	XY

Figure 1. *Metriaclima phaeos* family (Meph-A006) showing segregation of an XY sex-determining locus on LG7. The two haplotypes of the dam are shown in shades of pink, those of the sire in blue. Numbers indicate the length of the microsatellite allele for each locus and zero indicates a null allele. The inferred genotype at the sex-determining locus is shown in the last column.

Parental haplotypes:		LG5 Dam (OB) UNH2139	LG7 Sire (BB) UNH2086
Haplotype 1:		169	178
Haplotype 2:		227	168

Offspring:		Inferred genotype			
Color	Sex	Haplotype from dam	LG5	LG7	Haplotype from sire
OB	F	169	ZW	XY	178
OB	F	169	ZW	XY	178
OB	F	169	ZW	XX	168
OB	F	169	ZW	XY	178
OB	F	169	ZW	XX	168
OB	F	169	ZW	XY	178
BB	F	227	ZZ	XX	168
BB	F	227	ZZ	XX	168
BB	F	227	ZZ	XX	168
BB	F	227	ZZ	XX	168
BB	M	227	ZZ	XY	178
BB	M	227	ZZ	XY	178
BB	M	227	ZZ	XY	178
BB	M	227	ZZ	XY	178
BB	M	227	ZZ	XY	178

Figure 3. *Metriaclima pyrrsonotus* family (RMpy007) showing segregation of both a ZW sex-determining locus on LG5 and an XY sex-determining locus on LG7. The haplotypes of the dam on LG5 are shown in shades of pink. The haplotypes of the sire on LG7 are shown in blue. Numbers indicate the length of the microsatellite allele for each locus. The inferred genotypes at the two, epistatic, sex-determining loci segregating in this family are shown in the middle columns.

male. These males have a ZZXY genotype and the BB pigmentation phenotype. Finally, individuals with the ZZXX genotype are mostly female and are BB in color. Interestingly, while most individuals with the ZZXX genotype are female, ZZXX males have also been identified. Similar epistatic interactions were observed in a second *M. pyrrsonotus* family (Table S38). Note that when both sex-determining systems are segregating within a single family, the expected Mendelian sex ratio becomes 1:3 (male:female).

MULTIFACTORIAL SEX DETERMINATION

In several families, the association between phenotypic sex and the known genetic sex-determining loci on LG 5 and LG 7 breaks down. Comparisons among eight families of *M. pyrrsonotus* all sired by the same male are particularly illustrative of this point (Tables S32–S39). This male (number 2005–5049) has a Y sex-determining locus on LG7 marked by the alleles 154–178–137 for markers UNH2095–UNH2086–UNH2031 (Table S33). This haplotype also behaves as a Y in two other broods from this male (Tables S34 and S38) in which the epistatically dominant LG5 W is segregating.

In the other families sired by the same male, the effect of the LG7 Y is not as clear. In these families, male progeny generally carry the sire’s Y haplotype. But the offspring in three of these families were all female, despite the segregation of the sire’s Y haplotype (Tables S35–S37). Two other families (Tables S32 and S33) are highly female biased and show a pattern of inheritance similar to those segregating the LG5 ZW system. However, in these two families the epistasis is not attributable to segregation of the LG5 ZW system, suggesting the presence of still another dominant ZW sex-determination locus elsewhere in the genome.

The last female-biased family (Table S39) shows a clear segregation of the LG5 ZW system epistatic to the LG7 XY locus. But it also includes some females that carry the Y haplotype but not the W.

In three families of *M. kompakt* (Tables S18–S20) a portion of the phenotypic males have a ZW genotype at LG5 (OB males). The idea that some *M. kompakt* males are genetically female at the LG5 locus, is confirmed by a family in which both parents are heterozygous for the LG5 ZW (OB) genotype (Table S20). Both parents of this family have a LG5 ZW (OB) genotype. Some of the female offspring are homozygous for the W sex-determining locus, demonstrating viability of this genotype. All offspring that inherit a W allele are OB, whether they inherited the W from the male (marked by a 206 allele at UNH2139) or the female (213 allele at UNH2139). Most of these W-carrying offspring are female, regardless of whether they inherited the W from the sire or the dam. However, three OB individuals are male, either due to environmental effects or an additional XY locus not linked to the XY system on LG7.

Sex-reversed individuals create opportunities to produce individuals homozygous for dominant sex-determination alleles, such as the LG5 WW individuals we identified in *M. ‘kompakt’* (Table S20). A parent homozygous for a dominant sex-determination allele would produce offspring of only one sex, a possible explanation for the single-sex families in our study (Tables S5, S30, S31, and S35–S37). Additionally, the presence of multiple independent sex-determining loci could skew sex ratios within individual families. For example, in *M. pyrrsonotus* we identify a ZW system, and infer another ZW system, both dominant to the LG7 XY system. If a female is heterozygous for




Figure 4. Summary of the diverse sex-determining systems found in Lake Malawi cichlids. Symbols indicate the sex ratio of each family studied. Filled symbols indicate families genotyped for markers on LG5 and LG7. Blue squares indicate families with a significant association for an XY sex-determining locus on LG7. Pink circles indicate families with a significant association with a ZW sex-determining locus on LG5. The two families of *M. pyrronotus* segregating both sex-determining loci are indicated by the pink circle containing a small blue square. Gray diamonds indicate the family was genotyped, but no associations were found with either LG5 or LG7. Open diamonds indicate genotyping was not performed, or that statistical association was not possible due to highly skewed sex ratios. The taxonomic relationships of the species are shown in the tree on the left.

both female sex-determining loci, we would predict a sex ratio approaching 1:9 (male:female). The sex ratios of many of our individual families in that species fall near that prediction (Table 1, Fig. 4). Highly skewed sex ratios in some families make it difficult to detect genetic linkage. A family of *M. saulosi* family was very male-biased (38M:3F) and did not show evidence for linkage of sex with markers on either LG5 or LG7 (Table S40). Three of four families of *M. fainzilberi* were strongly female-biased and show no linkage with either LG5 or LG7 (Tables S15–S17). We suspect that some of these families are homozygous for LG5 ZZ and LG7 XX genotypes, and/or that one or more loci on another chromosome are contributing to determining sex.

In a few cases, the strongly skewed sex ratios are associated with an unequal transmission of alternative alleles from the female parent. Segregation distortion of maternal alleles on LG7 was observed in *M. barlowi* (Table S7), *M. fainzilberi* (Table S14), *M. lombardoi* (Table S21), and *M. pyrronotus* (Tables S29 and S37). The only male-biased family of *M. pyrronotus* shows strong segregation distortion for one of the female haplotypes on LG5 (Table S28). No similar distortions of paternal segregation were observed.

Finally, we have evidence that suggests species differ in whether males or females are the heterogametic sex on LG5. Two families showed evidence of an XY, rather than a ZW, system on LG5. A family of *M. zebra* “Nankoma” is segregating for XY systems on both LG7 and LG5 (Table S43). A Y allele from either locus appears sufficient to determine a male fate. *Metriaclima* “daktari” also appears to be segregating XY systems on both LG5 and LG7 (Table S13), although the result is not statisti-

cally significant in this small family. In these cases, it is difficult to definitively attribute XY or ZW systems to each locus, perhaps because the phenotypic sex of a parent does not match their genetic sex for a particular locus. Analyses of additional families from these species are needed to establish a clear pattern.

Discussion

GENETIC SEX DETERMINATION

This survey identified at least two distinct genetic sex-determination systems in the Lake Malawi cichlid flock: a male heterogametic (XY) sex-determination system on linkage group 7, and a female heterogametic (ZW) sex-determination system on linkage group 5. The XY system is widespread among the species we surveyed. Many *Metriaclima* species, as well as the outgroup species *A. baenschi* and *P. polit*, show XY sex determination linked to markers on LG7. The ZW sex-determination system on LG5 has thus far only been found in *Metriaclima* and *Labeotropheus* species that exhibit orange- or white-blotch female phenotypes.

In *M. pyrronotus* the two sex-determining systems segregate within single families. When both dominant sex-determination loci (W and Y) are present in a single individual, the ZW system is epistatically dominant to the XY system. Some individuals in these families inherit neither W nor Y. These ZZXX individuals are usually female but can also differentiate as males, possibly due to the segregation of additional sex-determining loci.

Although it is not yet possible to definitively determine which sex-determining system is ancestral, evidence points towards the

LG7 XY system. In our sample, more species have a LG7 XY system than a LG5 ZW system (10 vs. five species) and two of three outgroup species (*A. baenschi*, *P. polit*) are LG7 XY. In the third outgroup species, *L. trewavasae*, we were only able to test families from an OB dam segregating the LG5 ZW system. As non-OB females are common in this species in the wild, it is likely that the LG5 ZW locus is not the sole sex-determination system in the species. Another species in the genus, *L. fuelleborni*, appears to segregate the LG7 XY system (Albertson, 2002). On this basis, we postulate that the XY system on LG7 is the ancestral system of sex determination.

Evidence from several species strongly suggests the presence of additional sex-determination loci and cryptic epistatic interactions. Several families showed no linkage of sex to markers on either LG5 or LG7, suggesting that sex determination is controlled by genes on other chromosomes. Known sex-determination loci are differentially penetrant across families. Multiple families from single sires produce different genotype–phenotype associations with different dams. Inheritance patterns across these families are strongly indicative of unknown, epistatically dominant sex-determination loci segregating in the population in a Mendelian manner. Numerous families with highly skewed sex ratios also support the existence of epistatic interactions between additional sex-determining loci segregating in these species. Our sampling of species and genera was focused on the genus *Metriaclima*, and we have limited information on sex-determination loci segregating in other genera. We expect to find evidence for additional sex-determining loci as we broaden our sampling to related genera.

These interactions among known and unknown sex-determining loci complicate the genetic analysis of sex determination. The presence of a sex-determination locus on LG5 or LG7 in a family may be masked if another locus is overriding its effects in a subset of the progeny. Similarly, the phenotypic sex of an individual may be determined by one locus, but it may also be segregating another sex-determination locus. For example, in *M. pyrrsonotus* we regularly identify females with a LG5 ZW, LG7 XY genotype. These females will contribute the LG7 Y to a portion of their progeny, determining male phenotypic sex. Finally, if both the dam and the sire are segregating the same sex-determination allele, it confounds the identification of the association and direction of sex determination.

Although we believe that sex determination in Malawi cichlids is predominantly genetic, there remains room to hypothesize a role for environmental factors. These might be suspected to account for the highly skewed sex ratios in some families. However, the temperatures at which we raised the fish were not close to the temperatures known to affect sex determination in tilapiine cichlids (Bezault et al. 2007; Baroiller et al. 2009a). The variable sex of ZZXX individuals in some families might also be inter-

preted as evidence of environmental influence. While we do not deny the extensive evidence for environmental effects on sex determination in fish (Baroiller et al. 2009b), we expect that further mapping efforts will identify additional genetic loci contributing to sex determination in these species.

EVOLUTION OF SEX DETERMINATION

We have now identified at least four distinct sex chromosomes in cichlid fish. Some species of tilapiine cichlids have an XY system on linkage group 1, while others have a ZW system on linkage group 3 (Cnaani et al. 2008). Some families of the blue tilapia have been found segregating both loci, and in these cases the W chromosome trumps the Y (ZWX Y individuals are female) (Lee et al. 2004). Extensive comparative mapping, using common marker sets, has demonstrated that the genetic maps for tilapia cichlids and Malawi cichlids are almost perfectly colinear. Therefore, the sex-determining loci we have mapped in Lake Malawi cichlids (the ZW system on linkage group 5 and the XY system on linkage group 7) are clearly distinct from the loci identified in tilapia. Although this pattern might have been created by the movement of sex-determining genes among chromosomes (e.g., associated with transposons), we think it more likely that different genes have been recruited on each chromosome for a new role in sex determination. The haplochromine cichlids of East Africa diverged from the tilapiine cichlid lineage only 10–20 million years ago (Genner et al. 2007). The presence of at least four sex-determining loci, and the relatively undifferentiated state of the sex chromosomes, make this group of fish an excellent model for studying the early steps in the evolution of sex chromosomes (Charlesworth 1991), the mechanisms by which sex-determination pathways evolve (Wilkins 1995), and the role of sex chromosomes in speciation (Presgraves 2008).

Our data add to the growing body of evidence that the mechanisms of sex determination are highly labile in many fish lineages. For example, in the medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) a recent duplication of *dmrt1* has created a Y chromosome from an ancestral autosome (LG1) (Matsuda et al. 2002; Kondo et al. 2004). XY sex-reversed females are observed as the result of structural and regulatory mutations of the *dmrt1bY* gene (Otake et al. 2006, 2008). There is also evidence for autosomal modifiers that create XX males (Nanda et al. 2003). Closely related species of *Oryzias* have XY sex-determining loci on LG2, LG8, LG10, and LG12 (Nagai et al. 2008). *Oryzias hubbsi* has a ZW sex-determining system on LG5 that has evolved since its divergence from *O. dan-cena* (Takehana et al. 2007). Rapid evolution of sex-determination systems has been observed also in poeciliids (Volf and Schartl 2001), salmonids (Woram et al. 2003), sticklebacks (Ross et al. 2009), and tilapias (Cnaani et al. 2008). Sex determination in the frog, *Rana rugosa*, is ancestrally an XY system, but ZW systems have evolved twice on the islands of Japan (Ogata et al. 2008).

Vertebrate sex determination is more labile than it would appear from studies of avian and mammalian lineages.

The evolution of sex-determining mechanisms is fundamentally constrained by natural selection on sex ratios (Fisher 1958). Multiple sex-determination loci can coexist in a population if their fitness is equal. The different monogenic systems are then connected by paths of equilibria along lines of equal sex ratio (Bull 1983). In natural populations, however, the fitness of these genotypes probably is not equal, and the polymorphisms are unlikely to persist (Rice 1986). The consensus of theoretical work is that multifactorial systems for sex determination are usually transient (Bull and Charnov 1977; Karlin and Lessard 1986) and will rapidly evolve toward a monogenic system with an equal sex ratio. Still, multifactorial sex determination has been described in several systems, including *Xiphophorus* (Kallman 1965) and *Musca* (Kozielska et al. 2006). Our results demonstrate that via dominant epistasis, one sex-determining locus can mask other underlying sex-determination systems. Sex-determination hierarchies like these are probably not unique to cichlid fish. Re-examination of species in which sex-determining loci already have been identified may reveal the segregation of additional genetic sex-determination systems.

The existence of these complex systems of sex determination also requires that we reconsider the population genetics of sex determination. Most of the existing theoretical treatments consider the evolution of multiple sex-determining alleles at a single locus (Orzack et al. 1980; Lande et al. 2001; Vuilleumier et al. 2007). Clearly, models in which multiple unlinked loci interact to determine sex are more relevant to the situation in Lake Malawi cichlids (Bull and Charnov 1977; van Doorn and Kirkpatrick 2007).

SEXUALLY ANTAGONISTIC SELECTION

What selective forces can account for the rapid evolution of new sex-determining loci in these fish? A general theory is that new sex-determination loci are fixed in response to sexually antagonistic selection (Rice 1986). Alleles that increase the fitness of one sex, but decrease the fitness of the opposite sex, create a genetic conflict which can be resolved by linkage to a nearby sex-determining locus (van Doorn and Kirkpatrick 2007). If linkage disequilibrium between the sex-determining locus and the sexually antagonistic allele can be maintained, the deleterious effects of sexually antagonistic selection will be reduced.

The ZW system on LG5 may have evolved more recently as a result of sexually antagonistic selection for the OB color pattern (Roberts et al. 2009). Orange-blotch provides advantages of crypsis in females, but reduces the mating success of males because it disrupts species-specific color patterns important in mate recognition. The OB allele is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the W sex-determination locus on LG5, providing an effective mechanism to reduce the deleterious effects of sexually antagonistic

selection on this color pattern. This is analogous to the situation in guppies, where genes for male ornamental coloration are primarily found on the Y chromosome (Lindholm and Breden 2002; Tripathi et al. 2009).

POSTZYGOTIC ISOLATION

The diversity of sex-determining loci, and the inferred rapid evolution of gene regulatory networks underlying sexual differentiation, may promote speciation by contributing to postzygotic reproductive isolation. Hybrids between species with different sex-determining systems may produce intersex individuals with reduced viability or fertility, directly contributing to postzygotic isolation. Anecdotal results in our lab suggest that some hybrid crosses do have reduced viability, although this has not yet been traced to the incompatibilities among the sex-determining loci. Now that we have information on the mode of sex determination in each species it will be important to systematically examine the viability and fertility of hybrid crosses. Reduced fitness of some hybrid genotypes might in turn create selection for reinforcement of premating isolating mechanisms (Lemmon and Kirkpatrick 2006).

AN EVOLVING MODEL OF SPECIATION

The unexpected diversity of sex-determining mechanisms, coupled with several other recent observations, lead us to propose a new model for speciation of the colorful cichlids of Lake Malawi. We begin with the observation that male–male competition for breeding territories is intense. Males defend permanent territories of a few square meters, and direct their strongest aggression toward other males. While conspecific territories do not overlap, the territories of congeners with different color patterns frequently do show significant overlap. Mutations which significantly alter the color pattern reduce the aggression a male receives from other males, and give him an enormous advantage in acquiring and/or maintaining a breeding territory (Seehausen and Schluter 2004; Pauers et al. 2008).

Given the strong role that color patterns play in mate recognition and female preference, one might expect this mutant male to suffer reduced opportunities for mating. However, female mate preferences may not be hard-wired. Recent work suggests that fry imprint on olfactory cues while being brooded in the mother's mouth for the first weeks of life (Verzijden and ten Cate 2007). The implication is that as females mature, they may use this imprint to learn the color patterns of those males emitting the appropriate olfactory cues. The females could then use the color cues to recognize males at a distance, as shown in many laboratory experiments (Couldridge and Alexander 2002; Jordan et al. 2003; Kidd et al. 2006). Males with new color mutations are thus able to breed with an existing population of females.

We next postulate that the male color mutation has negative fitness consequences when expressed in females. Bright color elements that improve male mating success might disrupt the cryptic patterns of females, setting up a pattern of sexually antagonistic selection on the pigmentation locus. There is as yet little data on the penetrance of male color mutations in females, but anecdotal evidence from our lab suggests that hybrid females do show limited expression of male color elements. One mechanism by which sexually antagonistic selection on the color pattern might be resolved is through the recruitment of new sex-determining loci. Selection might favor the recruitment of a new Y allele tightly linked to the male color mutation that would restrict expression of the color to males. Alternatively, a mutation that suppressed the color element in females might become linked to a novel W allele in females. The result is a population segregating multiple sex determiners in linkage disequilibrium with genes controlling the color patterns. This would set the stage for reinforcement of premating isolating mechanisms.

While this model is speculative, it is consistent with published data, with the exceptionally strong forces of sexual selection acting on the rock-dwelling “mbuna” cichlids, and with the breeding biology of these fish in the wild. It suggests a variety of experiments to confirm the olfactory imprinting hypothesis, study the penetrance of novel male color mutations in females, and evaluate the linkage of color pattern genes with sex-determining loci.

In conclusion, we have uncovered a surprising diversity of sex-determining systems among Lake Malawi cichlids. This diversity has evolved recently, because the radiation of this species flock occurred over the last million years. Our results suggest that attention should focus understanding the role of genetic conflicts in the evolution of new sex-determination loci in this lineage. It will be interesting to learn how the evolution of sex determination may have contributed to the remarkable radiation of Lake Malawi cichlids.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We dedicate this article to the memory of Stuart Grant (1937–2007), who facilitated so much scientific work on Lake Malawi cichlids. We work in Lake Malawi under permits from the Malawi Department of Parks and Wildlife, with the collaboration of the Department of Biology at Chancellor College, University of Malawi and with the cooperation of the Malawi Department of Fisheries. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB-0445212) and the National Institutes of Health (R01HD058635).

LITERATURE CITED

- Albertson, R. C. 2002. Genetic basis of adaptive radiation in East African cichlid fishes. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of New Hampshire, Durham, NH.
- Albertson, R. C., J. T. Streebman, and T. D. Kocher. 2003. Directional selection has shaped the oral jaws of Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:5252–5257.
- Albertson, R. C., J. T. Streebman, T. D. Kocher, and P. C. Yelick. 2005. Integration and evolution of the cichlid mandible: the molecular basis of alternate feeding strategies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:16287–16292.
- Allender, C. J., O. Seehausen, M. E. Knight, G. F. Turner, and N. Maclean. 2003. Divergent selection during speciation of Lake Malawi cichlid fishes inferred from parallel radiations in nuptial coloration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:14074–14079.
- Arnegard, M. E., J. A. Markert, P. D. Danley, J. R. Stauffer, A. J. Ambali, and T. D. Kocher. 1999. Population structure and colour variation of the cichlid fishes *Labeotropheus fuelleborni* Ahl along a recently formed archipelago of rocky habitat patches in southern Lake Malawi. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 266:119–130.
- Baroiller, J. F., H. D’Cotta, E. Bezault, S. Wessels, and G. Hoerstgen-Schwark. 2009a. Tilapia sex determination: where temperature and genetics meet. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 153:30–38.
- Baroiller, J. F., H. D’Cotta, and E. Saillant. 2009b. Environmental effects on fish sex determination and differentiation. *Sex. Dev.* 3:118–135.
- Bezault, E., F. Clota, M. Derivaz, B. Chevassus, and J. F. Baroiller. 2007. Sex determination and temperature-induced sex differentiation in three natural populations of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) adapted to extreme temperature conditions. *Aquaculture* 272(S1):S3–S16.
- Bull, J. J. 1983. Evolution of sex determining mechanisms. Benjamin Cummings Publishing, Menlo Park, CA.
- Bull, J. J., and E. L. Charnov. 1977. Changes in the heterogametic mechanism of sex determination. *Heredity* 39:1–14.
- Chapman, T. 2006. Evolutionary conflicts of interest between males and females. *Curr. Biol.* 16:R744–R754.
- Charlesworth, B. 1991. The evolution of sex chromosomes. *Science* 251:1030–1033.
- Cnaani, A., B. Y. Lee, N. Zilberman, C. Ozouf-Costaz, G. Hulata, M. Ron, A. D’Hont, J. F. Baroiller, H. D’Cotta, D. J. Penman, et al. 2008. Genetics of sex determination in tilapiine species. *Sex. Dev.* 2:43–54.
- Couldridge, V. C. K., and G. J. Alexander. 2002. Colour patterns and species recognition in four closely related species of Lake Malawi cichlid. *Behav. Ecol.* 13:59–64.
- Danley, P. D., and T. D. Kocher. 2001. Speciation in rapidly diverging systems: lessons from Lake Malawi. *Mol. Ecol.* 10:1075–1086.
- Danley, P. D., J. A. Markert, M. E. Arnegard, and T. D. Kocher. 2000. Divergence with gene flow in the rock-dwelling cichlids of Lake Malawi. *Evolution* 54:1725–1737.
- Devlin, R. H., and Y. Nagahama. 2002. Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influence. *Aquaculture* 208:191–364.
- Fisher, R. A. 1958. The genetical theory of natural selection. Dover Publications, NY.
- Genner, M. J., O. Seehausen, D. H. Lunt, D. A. Joyce, P. W. Shaw, G. R. Carvalho, and G. F. Turner. 2007. Age of cichlids: new dates for ancient lake fish radiations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24:1269–1282.
- Guerrero, R. D., and W. L. Shelton. 1974. An aceto-carmin squash method for sexing juvenile fishes. *Prog. Fish. Cult.* 36:55–56.
- Jin, W., R. M. Riley, R. D. Wolfinger, K. P. White, G. Passador-Gurgel, and G. Gibson. 2001. The contributions of sex, genotype and age to transcriptional variance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat. Genet.* 29:389–395.
- Jordan, R., K. Kellogg, F. Juanes, and J. R. Stauffer Jr. 2003. Evaluation of female mate choice cues in a group of Lake Malawi *mbuna* (Cichlidae). *Copeia* 2003:181–186.
- Kallman, K. D. 1965. Genetics and geography of sex determination in the poeciliid fish, *Xiphophorus maculatus*. *Zoologica* 50:151–190.
- Karlin, S., and S. Lessard. 1986. Sex ratio evolution. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Kidd, M. R., P. D. Danley, and T. D. Kocher. 2006. A direct assay of female choice in cichlids: all the eggs in one basket. *J. Fish Biol.* 68:373–384.

- Kocher, T. D. 2004. Adaptive evolution and explosive speciation: the cichlid fish model. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 5:288–298.
- Kocher, T. D., J. A. Conroy, K. R. McKaye, J. R. Stauffer, and S. F. Lockwood. 1995. Evolution of NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 in East African cichlid fish. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 4:420–432.
- Kondo, M., I. Nanda, U. Hornung, M. Schmid, and M. Schartl. 2004. Evolutionary origin of the medaka Y chromosome. *Curr. Biol.* 14:1664–1669.
- Kornfield, I. L. 1984. Descriptive genetics of cichlid fishes. Pp. 591–616 in B. J. Turner ed. *Evolutionary genetics of fishes*. Plenum Publishing Corporation, NY.
- Kozielska, M., I. Pen, L. W. Beukeboom, and F. J. Weissing. 2006. Sex ratio selection and multifactorial sex determination in the housefly: a dynamic model. *J. Evol. Biol.* 19:879–888.
- Lande, R. 1981. Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 78:3721–3725.
- Lande, R., O. Seehausen, and J. J. van Alphen. 2001. Mechanisms of rapid sympatric speciation by sex reversal and sexual selection in cichlid fish. *Genetica* 112–113:435–443.
- Lee, B.-Y., G. Hulata, and T. D. Kocher. 2004. Two unlinked loci controlling the sex of blue tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus*). *Heredity* 92:543–549.
- Lee, B. Y., W. J. Lee, J. T. Streelman, K. L. Carleton, A. E. Howe, G. Hulata, A. Slettan, J. E. Stern, Y. Terai, and T. D. Kocher. 2005. A second-generation genetic linkage map of tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.). *Genetics* 170:237–244.
- Lemmon, A. R., and M. Kirkpatrick. 2006. Reinforcement and the genetics of hybrid incompatibilities. *Genetics* 173:1145–1155.
- Lindholm, A., and F. Breden. 2002. Sex chromosomes and sexual selection in poeciliid fishes. *Am. Nat.* 160:S214–S224.
- Matsuda, M., Y. Nagahama, A. Shinomiya, T. Sato, C. Matsuda, T. Kobayashi, C. E. Morrey, N. Shibata, S. Asakawa, N. Shimizu, et al. 2002. DMY is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. *Nature* 417:559–563.
- Mayr, E. 1963. *Animal Species and Evolution*. Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Meyer, A., T. D. Kocher, P. Basasibwaki, and A. C. Wilson. 1990. Monophyletic origin of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes suggested by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Nature* 347:550–553.
- Nagai, T., Y. Takehana, S. Hamaguchi, and M. Sakaizumi. 2008. Identification of the sex-determining locus in the Thai medaka, *Oryzias minutillus*. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 121:137–142.
- Nanda, I., U. Hornung, M. Kondo, M. Schmid, and M. Schartl. 2003. Common spontaneous sex-reversed XX males of the medaka *Oryzias latipes*. *Genetics* 163:245–251.
- Ogata, M., Y. Hasegawa, H. Ohtani, M. Mineyama, and I. Miura. 2008. The ZZ/ZW sex-determining mechanism originated twice and independently during evolution of the frog, *Rana rugosa*. *Heredity* 100:92–99.
- Orzack, S. H., J. S. Sohn, K. D. Kallman, S. A. Levin, and R. Johnston. 1980. Maintenance of the three sex chromosome polymorphism in the platyfish, *Xiphophorus maculatus*. *Evolution* 34:663–672.
- Otake, H., A. Shinomiya, M. Matsuda, S. Hamaguchi, and M. Sakaizumi. 2006. Wild-derived XY sex-reversal mutants in the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. *Genetics* 173:2083–2090.
- Otake, H., Y. Hayashi, S. Hamaguchi, and M. Sakaizumi. 2008. The Y chromosome that lost the male-determining function behaves as an X chromosome in the medaka fish, *Oryzias latipes*. *Genetics* 179:2157–2162.
- Pauers, M. J., J. M. Kapfer, C. E. Fendos, and C. S. Berg. 2008. Aggressive biases towards similarly coloured males in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Biol. Lett.* 4:156–159.
- Presgraves, D. C. 2008. Sex chromosomes and speciation in *Drosophila*. *Trends Genet.* 24:336–343.
- Rice, W. R. 1986. On the instability of polygenic sex determination: the effect of sex-specific selection. *Evolution* 40:633–639.
- . 1992. Sexually antagonistic genes – experimental evidence. *Science* 256:1436–1439.
- . 1998. Male fitness increases when females are eliminated from gene pool: implications for the Y chromosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 95:6217–6221.
- Rico, C., and G. F. Turner. 2002. Extreme microallopatric divergence in a cichlid species from Lake Malawi. *Mol. Ecol.* 11:1585–1590.
- Ritchie, M. G. 2007. Sexual selection and speciation. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 38:79–102.
- Roberts, R. B., J. R. Ser, and T. D. Kocher. 2009. Sexual conflict resolved by invasion of a novel sex determiner in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Science* doi: 10.1126/science.1174705.
- Römer, U., and W. Beisenherz. 1996. Environmental determination of sex in *Apistogramma* (Cichlidae) and two other fresh-water fishes (Teleostei). *J Fish Biol* 48:714–725.
- Ross, J. A., J. R. Urton, J. Boland, M. D. Shapiro, and C. L. Peichel. 2009. Turnover of sex chromosomes in the stickleback fishes (Gasterosteidae). *PLoS Genet.* e1000391.
- Schluter, D. 2000. *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, U.K.
- Seehausen, O., and D. Schluter. 2004. Male-male competition and nuptial-colour displacement as a diversifying force in Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 271:1345–1353.
- Seehausen, O., Y. Terai, I. S. Magalhaes, K. L. Carleton, H. D. J. Mrosso, R. Miyagi, I. Van Der Sluijs, M. V. Schneider, M. E. Maan, H. Tachida, et al. 2008. Speciation through sensory drive in cichlid fish. *Nature* 455:620–626.
- Smith, P. F., and I. Kornfield. 2002. Phylogeography of Lake Malawi cichlids of the genus *Pseudotropheus*: significance of allopatric colour variation. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 269:2495–2502.
- Streelman, J. T., R. C. Albertson, and T. D. Kocher. 2003. Genome mapping of the orange blotch colour pattern in cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol.* 12:2465–2471.
- Takehana, Y., K. Naruse, S. Hamaguchi, and M. Sakaizumi. 2007. Evolution of ZZ/ZW and XX/XY sex-determination systems in the closely related medaka species, *Oryzias hubbsi* and *O. dancena*. *Chromosoma* 116:463–470.
- Tripathi, N., M. Hoffmann, D. Weigel, and C. Dreyer. 2009. Linkage analysis reveals the independent origin of poeciliid sex chromosomes and a case of atypical sex inheritance in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Genetics* 182:365–374.
- Turner, G. F., O. Seehausen, M. E. Knight, C. J. Allender, and R. L. Robinson. 2001. How many species of cichlid fishes are there in African lakes? *Mol. Ecol.* 10:793–806.
- van Doorn, G. S., and M. Kirkpatrick. 2007. Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual conflict. *Nature* 449:909–912.
- van Oppen M. J. H., G. F. Turner, C. Rico, J. C. Deutsch, K. M. Ibrahim, R. L. Robinson, and G. M. Hewitt. 1997. Unusually fine-scale genetic structuring found in rapidly speciating Malawi cichlid fishes. *Proc. Biol. Soc.* 264:1803–1812.
- Verheyen, E., W. Salzburger, J. Snoeks, and A. Meyer. 2003. Origin of the superflock of cichlid fishes from Lake Victoria, East Africa. *Science* 300:325–329.
- Verzijden, M. N., and C. ten Cate. 2007. Early learning influences species assortative mating preferences in Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *Biol. Lett.* 3:134–136.

- Volff, J. N., and M. Schartl. 2001. Variability of genetic sex determination in poeciliid fishes. *Genetica* 111:101–110.
- Vuilleumier, S., R. Lande, J. J. M. van Alphen, and O. Seehausen. 2007. Invasion and fixation of sex-reversal genes. *J. Evol. Biol.* 20:913–920.
- Wilkins, A. S. 1995. Moving up the hierarchy: a hypothesis on the evolution of a genetic sex determination pathway. *Bioessays* 17:71–77.
- Woram, R. A., K. Gharbi, T. Sakamoto, B. Hoyheim, L. E. Holm, K. Naish, C. McGowan, M. M. Ferguson, R. B. Phillips, J. Stein, et al. 2003. Comparative genome analysis of the primary sex-determining locus in salmonid fishes. *Genome Res.* 13:272–280.

Associate Editor: C. Peichel

Supporting Information

The following supporting information is available for this article:

Table S1. *Aulonocara baenschi* family A002/A003/A005/A007/A014/A023 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S2. *Labeotropheus trewavase* family 012 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S3. *Labeotropheus trewavase* family 015 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S4. *Metriaclima barlowi* family A009 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S5. *Metriaclima barlowi* family A010 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S6. *Metriaclima barlowi* family A016 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S7. *Metriaclima barlowi* family A019 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S8. *Metriaclima benetos* family A002 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S9. *Metriaclima benetos* family A006 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S10. *Metriaclima benetos* family A007 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S11. *Metriaclima callainos* family Rmca012 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S12. *Metriaclima callainos* family Rmca016 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S13. *Metriaclima* “dakarti” family 005 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S14. *Metriaclima fainzilberi* Lundo Island family A002 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S15. *Metriaclima fainzilberi* Lundo Island family RmFI010 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S16. *Metriaclima fainzilberi* Lundo Point family A001 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S17. *Metriaclima fainzilberi* Lundo Point family A003 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S18. *Metriaclima* “kompakt” family A001 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S19. *Metriaclima* “kompakt” family A003 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S20. *Metriaclima* “kompakt” family RmbI007 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S21. *Metriaclima lombardoi* family A001 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S22. *Metriaclima lombardoi* family A015A genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S23. *Metriaclima lombardoi* family A019 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S24. *Metriaclima lombardoi* family A026 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S25. *Metriaclima mbenjii* family A004 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S26. *Metriaclima phaeos* family A001 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S27. *Metriaclima phaeos* family A006 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S28. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A002 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S29. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A006 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S30. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A010 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S31. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A013 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S32. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A014 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S33. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family A017 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S34. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family Rmpy007 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S35. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* Rmpy011 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S36. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* Rmpy012 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S37. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* Rmpy013 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S38. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* family RMpy018 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S39. *Metriaclima pyrsonotus* RMpy021 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S40. *Metriaclima saulosi* family A009 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S41. *Metriaclima zebra* family A003 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S42. *Metriaclima zebra* family A009 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S43. *Metriaclima zebra* 'Nankoma blue' family A006 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Table S44. *Pseudotropheus polit* family A003 genotypes for LG 5 and LG 7.

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

(This link will take you to the article abstract).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.